CSAR Service - Management Report

July 2001

This report documents the quality of the CSAR service during the month of July 2001.

A more comprehensive report is provided quarterly, which additionally covers wider aspects of the Service such as information on Training, Application Support and Value-Added services.

This and other such reports are made available through the Web to staff within EPSRC and the other Research Councils, to CfS staff and CSAR Service users. The reports are indexed in a similar way to that which other useful information and news are listed for selection.

1. Introduction

July has seen the T3E workload remain very high and the Origin 2000 (Fermat) with a high utilisation.

July also saw the utilisation on the Origin 3000 (Green) climbing.

The percentage of jobs larger than 64 PE's was 67%.

This document gives information on Service Quality and on actual usage of the CSAR Service during the reporting period of July 2001. The information, in particular, covers the availability and usage of the following two main CSAR Service High Performance Computing (HPC) systems:

- > Cray T3E-1200E/776 (Turing)
- ➤ SGI Origin2000/128 (Fermat)

The information is provided in both textual and graphical form, so that it is easier to see trends and variances.

2. Service Quality

This section covers overall Customer Performance Assessment Ratings (CPARS), HPC System availability and usage, Service Quality Tokens and other information concerning issues, progress and plans for the CSAR Service.

2.1 CPARS

<u>Table 1</u> gives the measure by which the quality of the CSAR Service is judged. It identifies the metrics and performance targets, with colour coding so that different levels of achievement against targets can be readily identified. Unsatisfactory actual performance will trigger corrective action.

CSAR Service - Service Quality Report - Performance Targets

| | | Performance Targets | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------------------|---------|---------|--------|-------------|--|
| Service Quality Measure | White | Blue | Green | Yellow | Orange | Red | |
| HPC Services Availability | | | | | | | |
| Availability in Core Time (% of time) | > 99.9% | > 99.5% | > 99.2% | > 98.5% | > 95% | 95% or less | |
| Availability out of Core Time (% of time) | > 99.8% | > 99.5% | > 99.2% | > 98.5% | > 95% | 95% or less | |
| Number of Failures in month | 0 | 1 | 2 to 3 | 4 | 5 | > 5 | |
| Mean Time between failures in 52 week rolling period (hours) | >750 | >500 | >300 | >200 | >150 | otherwise | |
| Fujitsu Service Availability | | | | | | | |
| Availability in Core Time (% of time) | > 99.9% | > 99.5% | > 99.2% | > 98.5% | > 95% | 95% or less | |
| Availability out of Core Time (% of time) | > 99.8% | > 99.5% | > 99.2% | > 98.5% | > 95% | 95% or less | |
| Help Desk | | | | | | | |
| Non In-depth Queries - Max Time to resolve 50% of all queries | < 1/4 | < 1/2 | < 1 | < 2 | < 4 | 4 or more | |
| Non In-depth Queries - Max Time to resolve 95% of all queries | < 1/2 | < 1 | < 2 | < 3 | < 5 | 5 or more | |
| Administrative Queries - Max Time to resolve 95% of all queries | < 1/2 | < 1 | < 2 | < 3 | < 5 | 5 or more | |
| Help Desk Telephone - % of calls answered within 2 minutes | >98% | > 95% | > 90% | > 85% | > 80% | 80% or less | |
| Others | | | | | | | |
| Normal Media Exchange Requests - average response time | < 1/2 | < 1 | < 2 | < 3 | < 5 | 5 or more | |
| New User Registration Time (working days) | < 1/2 | < 1 | < 2 | < 3 | < 4 | otherwise | |
| Management Report Delivery Times (working days) | < 1 | < 5 | < 10 | < 12 | < 15 | otherwise | |
| System Maintenance - no. of sessions taken per system in the month | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | otherwise | |

Table 1

<u>Table 2</u> gives actual performance information for the period of July 1st to 31st inclusive.

Overall, the CPARS Performance Achievement in June was satisfactory (see Table 3); i.e. Green measured against the CPARS performance targets.

The Fujitsu availability figures are included in Table 2, but not Table 3 as they have zero weighting in CPARS terms.

CSAR Service - Service Quality Report - Actual Performance Achievement

| | | | | | | | | | | 200 | 00/1 | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| Service Quality Measure | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | March | April | May | June | July |
| HPC Services Availability | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Availability in Core Time (% of time) | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 94.90% | 99.70% | 99.70% | 100% | 100% | 99.70% | 99.70% | 98.49% |
| Availability out of Core Time (% of time) | 100% | 100% | 100% | 99.40 | 98.49% | 99.50% | 99.40 | 99.40 | 99.40 | 99.40 | 99.40 | 98.49% |
| Number of Failures in month | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Mean Time between failures in 52 week rolling period (hours) | 626 | 730 | 1095 | 673 | 584 | 584 | 626 | 674 | 674 | 584 | 584 | 438 |
| Fujitsu Service Availability | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Availability in Core Time (% of time) | 98.4% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Availability out of Core Time (% of time) | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Help Desk | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non In-depth Queries - Max Time to resolve 50% of all queries | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 | <0.25 |
| Non In-depth Queries - Max Time to resolve 95% of all queries | <2 | <2 | <1 | <3 | <3 | <5 | <5 | <3 | <5 | <2 | <2 | <1 |
| Administrative Queries - Max Time to resolve 95% of all queries | <2 | <2 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <5 | <2 | <2 | <3 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <1 |
| Help Desk Telephone - % of calls answered within 2 minutes | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Others | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Normal Media Exchange Requests - average response time | 0 | <0.5 | 0 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 0 | 0 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| New User Registration Time (working days) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Management Report Delivery Times (working days) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| System Maintenance - no. of sessions taken per system in the mon | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Table 2

Notes:

 HPC Services Availability has been calculated using the following formulae, based on the relative NPB performance of Turing and Fermat at installation:

[Turing availability $\times 122 / (122 + 3.5)$] + [Fermat availability $\times 3.5 / (122 + 3.5) \times 1.556$]

2 Mean Time between failures for Service Credits is formally calculated based on a rolling 12 month period.

CfS

<u>Table 3</u> gives Service Credit values for the month of July. These will be accounted on a quarterly basis, formally from the Go-Live Date. The values are calculated according to agreed Service Credit Ratings and Weightings.


CSAR Service - Service Quality Report - Service Credit Ratings

| | | | | | | | | | 2000/1 | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Service Quality Measure | | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | March | April | May | June | July |
| HPC Services Availability | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Availability in Core Time (% of time) | -0.125 | -0.125 | -0.125 | -0.125 | 0.418 | -0.083 | -0.083 | -0.125 | -0.125 | -0.083 | -0.083 | 0.083 |
| Availability out of Core Time (% of time) | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0 | 0 | -0.083 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of Failures in month | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0 | 0.083 | -0.083 | -0.083 | -0.083 | -0.083 | 0 | 0 | 0.083 |
| Mean Time between failures in 52 week rolling period (hours) | -0.083 | -0.083 | -0.1 | -0.083 | -0.083 | -0.083 | -0.083 | -0.083 | -0.083 | -0.083 | -0.083 | 0 |
| Help Desk | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non In-depth Queries - Max Time to resolve 50% of all queries | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 |
| Non In-depth Queries - Max Time to resolve 95% of all queries | 0 | 0 | -0.083 | 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.167 | 0.167 | 0.083 | 0.167 | 0 | 0 | -0.083 |
| Administrative Queries - Max Time to resolve 95% of all queries | 0 | 0 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.247 | 0 | 0 | 0.083 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.083 |
| Help Desk Telephone - % of calls answered within 2 minutes | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 |
| Others | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Normal Media Exchange Requests - average response time | 0 | -0.1 | 0 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0 | 0 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 |
| New User Registration Time (working days) | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 |
| Management Report Delivery Times (working days) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.083 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| System Maintenance - no. of sessions taken per system in the mon | 0 | 0 | -0.083 | 0 | -0.083 | -0.1 | 0 | -0.083 | -0.083 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.083 |

Table 3


2.2 Service Quality Tokens

The current position at the end of July 2001 is that one of the 517 registered users of the CSAR Service had used Service Quality Tokens.



The graph above shows the total number of registered users on the CSAR Service and the number of users holding a neutral view of the service.

The graph below illustrates the monthly usage trend of quality tokens:



The current status of the Stendahl tokens, is that there is one user with outstanding black marks against the system, due to problems with the tape drives.

SUMMARY OF SERVICE QUALITY TOKEN USAGE

| No of Stars or Marks | 0011001114 | | Reason Given |
|-------------------------|------------|----------|--|
| | | | |
| 1 Black Mark | CSN001 | 20/07/01 | Network access improved, though still the odd Glitch. Still slow to retrieve files |
| | | | |
| | | | |

2.3 Throughput Target against Baseline

The Baseline Target for throughput was fully achieved this month due to plenty of work over the period. The actual usage figure was 156% of Baseline capacity.

Job Throughput Against Baseline CSAR Service Provision

Period: 1st to 31st July 2001

| | Baseline Capacity for Period (T3E PE Hours) | Actual Usage in Period (T3E PE Hours) | Actual % Utilisation c/w Baseline during Period |
|--|--|--|--|
| Has CfS failed to deliver Baseline MPP Computing Capacity for EPSRC? | 359,450 | 561,104 | 156.10% |
| | Baseline Capacity for Period (T3E PE Hours) | Job Time Demands in Period | Job Demand above 110% of Baseline during Period (Yes/No)? |
| Have Users submitted work demanding > 110% of the Baseline during period? | 359,450 | 563,019 | Yes |
| | | Number of Jobs at least 4 days old at end Period | Number of Jobs at least 4 days old at end Period is not zero (Yes/No)? |
| Are there User Jobs oustanding at the end of the period over 4 days old? | | 7 | Yes |
| | | Minimum Job Time Demands as % of Baseline during Period | Minimum Job Time Demand above 90% of Baseline during Period (Yes/No)? |
| 4. Have Users submitted work demands above 90% of the Baseline during period? | | 119% | Yes |
| | Number of standard Job Queues (ignoring priorities) | Average % of time each queue contained jobs in the Period | Average % of time each queue contained jobs in the Period is > 97%? |
| 5. Majority of Job Queues contained jobs from Users for more than 97% during period? | 4 | 69.0% | No |


3. System Availability

Service availability each reporting period is calculated as a percentage of actual availability time over theoretical maximum time, after accounting for planned breaks in service for preventative maintenance.


3.1 Cray T3E-1200E System (Turing)

The following graphs show the availability of Turing both in core time and out of core time respectively during the period of 1st to 31st July.

Turing availability for July:




Availability of Turing in core time during July was good with the exception of the 18th when 2 PEs failed and had to be replaced




Availability of Turing out of core time during July was good.

3.2 SGI Origin2000 System (Fermat)

The following graphs show the availability of Fermat both in core time and out of core time respectively.



Availability of Fermat in core time during July was good.



Availability of Fermat out of core time during July was good with the exception of three unscheduled re-boots.

4. HPC Services Usage

Usage information is given in tabular form, in Appendices, and in graphical format. The system usage information for the period of July 1st to 31st, this provided by Project/User Group, totalled by Research Council and overall. This covers:

• CPU usage Turing: 506,236 PE Hours Fermat (Batch): 61,410 Hours

• Fermat (Interactive): 432 CPU Hours

Green: 96,921 Hours
Fujitsu CPU usage
Fuji: 2,673.70 CPU Hours

• User Disk allocation Turing: 73.63 GB Years Fermat: 42.58 GB Years

• HSM/tape usage 1,227.75 GB Years


In addition, the following graphs are provided to illustrate usage per month, historically:

- a) MPP (T3E/Origin) Usage by month, showing usage each month of CPU (MFOP Years as per NPB), split by Research Council and by system. The Baseline and the overall Capacity are shown by overlaid horizontal lines.
- b) SMP (Origin) Usage by month, showing usage each month in CPU Hours, split by Research Council and giving the equivalent GFLOP-Years as per NPB. The Baseline Capacity is shown by an overlaid horizontal line.
- c) High Performance Disk (T3E) allocated for User Data by month, showing the allocated space each month in GBytes, split by Research Council. The Baseline Capacity (1 Terabyte) is shown by an overlaid horizontal line.
- d) Medium Performance Disk (Origin) allocated for User Data by month, showing the allocated space each month in GBytes, split by Research Council. The Baseline Capacity (1.5 Terabytes) is shown by an overlaid horizontal line.
- e) HSM/Tape Usage (T3E) by month, showing the volumes held each in GBytes, split by Research Council. The Baseline Capacity (16 Terabytes) available will be shown by an overlaid horizontal line.

4.1 Cray T3E-1200E System (Turing)

The following graph shows the usage of Turing during each day of July 2001. Note that there is some variance on a day-to-day basis as the accounts record job times, and thus CPU usage figures, at the time of job completion which could be the second actual day for large jobs. At present, there is a 12 hour limit on jobs, so that they are check-pointed, and computational time lost due to any failure is well managed.

Turing usage for July:



The above usage graph for the Turing system shows that the overall workload was variable.

The graph also indicates the workload reached 100% of maximum theoretical capacity for a large part of the month.


Fine tuning of the CfS scheduling system will continue to ensure minimal wasting of PE resource, in order to fit in a number of different sized jobs (e.g. 32, 64, 128, 256) thus facilitating maximised job throughput.

In particular, Turing will continue to start large jobs above 256 PEs, including 512 PEs, every night they are queued subject to the overall workload.


In an effort to minimise the effect of the long queues CfS have been man managing work through on a priority basis, where requested.

4.2 SGI Origin2000 System (Fermat)

The usage of the Origin system was low at the beginning of the month but grew with the new batch queuing system and release of processors for batch work. The groups most heavily using the Fermat system are CSE006 (Briddon), CSN006 (Price), CSN015 (Proctor) and HPCI Daresbury.




The graph above shows the interactive usage of the upgraded Origin 2000 (Fermat).




The above graph to a different scale shows the variable batch utilisation of the Origin 128.

4.2.1 Fujitsu VPP 300/8 System (Fuji)



Fuji utilisation was again variable over the month with the overall position resulting in usage above baseline.


4.2.2 SGI Origin3000 System (Green)




The above graph shows the utilisation of Green for the month of July, which saw the system running at 51% of capacity. There was no usage on 24th & 25th July due to the upgrade of Green from 256 to 512 CPUs.

4.3 Disk/HSM Usage Charts


The graphs below show current disk and HSM allocations and usage.




The preceding graph shows actual usage on average against the current allocation of disk on the Turing system.




The above graph shows the disk allocations against usage on average of the disk on Fermat.




The above graph shows the total usage of the HSM facility by Research Council.



CSE002 (Gillan), CSE003 (Taylor) CSE004 (Sandham), CSE024 (Tennyson), CSN001 (Webb), CSN003 (O'Neill) & CSN015 (Proctor) were the major users of HSM resource.




Job statistics for Turing:




The above graph shows the number of jobs of the major sizes run in the period 1st to 31st July 2001.


CfS

The next graph shows the wait times in minutes on Turing for the major categories of jobs.







The chart above shows the average wait time trend on Turing over the last 12 months. Wait times for all jobs are now starting to fall as Green is now in full production as a 256 PE machine. The trend of falling job times has indeed continued.



The largest proportion of the workload on Turing, 67%, was greater than 64 PEs in size.




Turing PE usage is shown by Research Council during the past 12 months of service in the above chart.




Origin 2000 CPU usage is shown by Research Council during the past 12 months of service in the above chart.

4.4 Class 2 & 3 Usage Charts


The next series of charts show the usage of the system by the class 2 & class 3 users. The usage is shown by project and identifies the Research Council of the individual projects.




The above chart shows the most significant PE usage of the Turing system by class 2 and class 3 users.



The above chart shows the CPU usage of the Fermat system by class 2 and class 3 users.



The above chart shows the most significant disk allocations on the Turing system for class 2 and class 3 users.




The above chart shows the most significant disk allocations on the Fermat system for class 2 and class 3 users.

There is currently no HSM usage by class 2 and class 3 users.


4.5 Charts of Historical Usage


In all the Usage Charts, the baseline varies dependant on the number of days in each month, within a 365-day year.

The graph below shows the GFLOP Year utilisation on Turing and Fermat by Research Council for the previous 12 months; usage in July being reduced due to the outage for the major Green system upgrade.




The graph below shows the historic SMP/MPP usage on the major systems, with the upgrade to Fermat showing in January 2001 and Green showing in April to July 2001.






The above graph shows the historic interactive usage of the 'Baseline' Fermat system (equivalent to 16@250Mhz CPU's)

The next series of graphs illustrates the usage of the disk and HSM resources of the system.




The preceding graph illustrates the historic allocation of the High Performance Disk on Turing, which is now approaching the Baseline level.




The graph above illustrates the historic allocation of the Medium Performance Disk on Fermat, which is now beginning to grow more rapidly with the growth in usage of both Fermat and Green.

The graph below shows the historic HSM usage by Research Council funded projects, now close to Baseline. The primary usage is for NERC.



The next chart shows the historic usage of the Fuji system.



The Fujitsu system was above baseline this month.

4.5 Guest System Usage Charts

There is currently no Guest System usage.

5. Service Status, Issues and Plans

5.1 Status

The service continues to run almost at full capacity.

During the month, 67% of the jobs run on Turing were larger than 64 PEs in size.

The Origin 128 (Fermat) continues to be heavily used.

Green is now running as a 500 CPU batch engine.

The batch usage of Green is currently at 51% of the machine's capacity.

5.2 Issues

The environmental problems of last month have been less evident however Management attention is still focused in this area.

5.3 Plans

The upgrade to the Origin 3000 (Green), to 512 PEs, is now complete however due to a memory problem only 500 CPUs are currently available.

Plans are underway for the implementation of a SAN solution to the service during September.

6. Conclusion

July 2001 saw the overall CPARS rating at Green with the baseline being exceeded by 56%.

The largest proportion of the workload continues to be of the larger job sizes.

Continued management attention will be given to maximise the throughput of the Service, whilst balancing as fairly as practicable the shares between Projects and jobs of the varying sizes.

Appendix 1 contains the accounts for July 2001

Appendix 2 contains the Percentage shares by Consortium for July 2001

Appendix 3 contains the Percentage shares by Research Council for July 2001

Appendix 4 contains the Training, Applications and Optimisation support figures to the end of July 2001

Appendix 5 contains a reference table of the Consortia name, the subject area and the PI name.

Appendix 1

The summary accounts for the month of July 2001 can be found at the URL below

http://www.csar.cfs.ac.uk/admin/accounts/summary.shtml

| rcentage PE time per consortia for Turing | in July 2001 | Percentage CPU time per consortia for | r Fermat in July 2001 |
|---|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| onsortia . | % Machine Time | Consortia | % Machine Time |
| SE002 | 19.81 | CSE002 | 0.06 |
| SE003 | 8.78 | CSE003 | 0.22 |
| E007 | 3.82 | CSE007 | 1.18 |
| E021 | | CSE021 | |
| | 0.00 | | 0.00 |
| E023 | 0.00 | CSE023 | 0.00 |
| E025 | 0.00 | CSE025 | 0.00 |
| E030 | 0.44 | CSE030 | 0.04 |
| E051 | 0.00 | CSE051 | 0.00 |
| E055 | 0.00 | CSE055 | 0.00 |
| E006 | 0.05 | CSE006 | 58.87 |
| E026 | 3.35 | CSE026 | 0.00 |
| E004 | 2.92 | CSE004 | 0.24 |
| E013 | 5.74 | CSE013 | 0.13 |
| E014 | 0.00 | CSE014 | 0.00 |
| | | | |
| E016 | 0.16 | CSE016 | 0.00 |
| E022 | 0.00 | CSE022 | 0.00 |
| E027 | 0.00 | CSE027 | 17.39 |
| E040 | 0.00 | CSE040 | 0.00 |
| E041 | 0.00 | CSE041 | 0.00 |
| E043 | 0.00 | CSE043 | 0.00 |
| E052 | 0.51 | CSE052 | 0.00 |
| E053 | 0.08 | CSE053 | 0.00 |
| E056 | 0.00 | CSE056 | 0.00 |
| E008 | 0.00 | CSE008 | 0.00 |
| E009 | 8.12 | CSE009 | 0.00 |
| E024 | 1.72 | CSE024 | 0.00 |
| | | | |
| E033 | 0.00 | CSE033 | 13.96 |
| E035 | 2.37 | CSE035 | 0.00 |
| E019 | 0.00 | CSE019 | 0.00 |
| E020 | 0.00 | CSE020 | 0.00 |
| E066 | 0.00 | CSE066 | 0.00 |
| E034 | 0.00 | CSE034 | 0.00 |
| E036 | 0.00 | CSE036 | 0.00 |
| CI Southampton | 0.00 | HPCI Southampton | 0.00 |
| CI Daresbury | 0.01 | HPCI Daresbury | 0.00 |
| *CI Edinburgh | 0.00 | HPCI Edinburgh | 0.00 |
| N001 | 0.10 | CSN001 | 0.08 |
| N003 | 19.50 | CSN003 | 0.78 |
| N005 | | CSN005 | |
| | 0.00 | | 0.00 |
| N006 | 9.68 | CSN006 | 5.42 |
| N007 | 0.00 | CSN007 | 0.00 |
| N010 | 0.00 | CSN010 | 0.00 |
| N011 | 0.05 | CSN011 | 0.00 |
| N012 | 0.00 | CSN012 | 0.00 |
| N015 | 4.59 | CSN015 | 0.04 |
| N017 | 0.00 | CSN017 | 0.16 |
| N036 | 0.00 | CSN036 | 0.07 |
| B001 | 2.71 | CSB001 | 0.00 |
| B002 | 1.56 | CSB002 | 12.78 |
| P002 | 7.93 | CSP002 | 0.00 |
| | | | |
| P003 | 0.00 | CSP003 | 0.00 |
| P004 | 0.00 | CSP004 | 0.00 |
| 2018 | 0.00 | CS2018 | 0.00 |
| 2021 | 0.00 | CS2021 | 0.00 |
| 2023 | 0.00 | CS2023 | 0.00 |
| 2026 | 0.00 | CS2024 | 0.00 |
| 2027 | 0.00 | CS2027 | 0.00 |
| 2029 | 0.00 | CS2029 | 0.00 |
| 3001 | 0.00 | CS3001 | 0.00 |
| 3002 | 0.00 | CS3002 | 0.00 |
| | 0.00 | CS3005 | 0.00 |
| 3005 | | | |
| 3007 | 0.00 | CS3007 | 0.00 |
| 3008 | 0.00 | CS3008 | 0.00 |
| 3010 | 0.00 | CS3010 | 0.00 |
| 33012 | 0.00 | CS3012 | 0.00 |
| 3013 | 0.17 | CS3013 | 0.00 |

| | Consortia for Turing in July 2001 | Percentage disc allocation | Percentage disc allocation by Consortia for Fermat in July 2001 | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| onsortia | %Allocation | Consortia | %Allocation | | | | |
| E002 | 23.65 | CSE002 | 12.59 | | | | |
| E003 | 9.22 | CSE003 | 5.97 | | | | |
| E007 | 1.39 | CSE007 | 0.21 | | | | |
| 021 | 0.00 | CSE021 | 0.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 23 | 0.00 | CSE023 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 025 | 0.00 | CSE025 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 030 | 22.08 | CSE030 | 40.49 | | | | |
| 051 | 0.26 | CSE051 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 055 | 0.08 | CSE055 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 006 | 0.92 | CSE006 | 0.80 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 26 | 0.05 | CSE026 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 104 | 11.42 | CSE004 | 5.99 | | | | |
| 113 | 1.07 | CSE013 | 0.28 | | | | |
| 014 | 0.00 | CSE014 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 16 | 0.46 | CSE016 | 0.00 | | | | |
| | 0.15 | CSE022 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | |
| 027 | 0.05 | CSE027 | 0.40 | | | | |
| 140 | 0.00 | CSE040 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 41 | 0.05 | CSE041 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 43 | 0.12 | CSE043 | 0.21 | | | | |
| 52 | 0.24 | CSE052 | 0.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 53 | 0.10 | CSE053 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 56 | 0.00 | CSE056 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 108 | 0.00 | CSE008 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 09 | 6.93 | CSE009 | 0.38 | | | | |
| 024 | | CSE024 | | | | | |
| | 0.41 | | 0.07 | | | | |
| 33 | 0.00 | CSE033 | 0.09 | | | | |
| 5 | 0.80 | CSE035 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 9 | 0.00 | CSE019 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 20 | 0.00 | CSE020 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 66 | | CSE066 | | | | | |
| | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | | | |
| 34 | 0.00 | CSE034 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 6 | 0.03 | CSE036 | 0.02 | | | | |
| Southampton | 0.00 | HPCI Southampton | 0.00 | | | | |
| Daresbury | 0.11 | HPCI Daresbury | 0.09 | | | | |
| , | | * | 0.19 | | | | |
| Edinburgh | 0.11 | HPCI Edinburgh | | | | | |
| 01 | 9.22 | CSN001 | 19.94 | | | | |
| 3 | 2.42 | CSN003 | 2.98 | | | | |
| 5 | 0.00 | CSN005 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 1 | 4.62 | CSN006 | 2.00 | | | | |
| 6 | 0.00 | CSN007 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 07 | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0.00 | CSN010 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 1 | 0.46 | CSN011 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 12 | 0.00 | CSN012 | 0.28 | | | | |
| 5 | 0.14 | CSN015 | 4.84 | | | | |
| | 0.01 | CSN017 | 0.19 | | | | |
| 17 36 | | | | | | | |
| | 0.03 | CSN036 | 0.00 | | | | |
| l | 0.05 | CSB001 | 0.00 | | | | |
|)2 | 1.49 | CSB002 | 0.19 | | | | |
| 02 | 0.69 | CSP002 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 03 | 0.03 | CSP003 | 0.07 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 04 | 0.80 | CSP004 | 0.99 | | | | |
| 18 | 0.00 | CS2018 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 6 | 0.14 | CS2026 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 27 | 0.01 | CS2027 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 29 | 0.00 | CS2029 | 0.40 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 01 | 0.00 | CS3001 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 02 | 0.00 | CS3002 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 07 | 0.03 | CS3007 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 008 | 0.00 | CS3008 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 05 | | | | | | | |
| | 0.03 | CS3005 | 0.00 | | | | |
| | 0.05 | CS3010 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 10 | 0.00 | CS3012 | 0.00 | | | | |
| | 0.00 | | | | | | |
| 2 3 | 0.23 | CS3013 | 0.40 | | | | |

| Percentage usage of | Percentage usage of HSM by Consortium for July 2001 | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Consortium | % Usage | | | | | | | | |
| CSE002 | 0.72 | | | | | | | | |
| CSE003 | 0.11 | | | | | | | | |
| CSE030 | 1.73 | | | | | | | | |
| CSE004 | 5.53 | | | | | | | | |
| CSE013 | 0.08 | | | | | | | | |
| CSE027 | 0.09 | | | | | | | | |
| CSE041 | 0.08 | | | | | | | | |
| CSE024 | 2.02 | | | | | | | | |
| CSE033 | 0.01 | | | | | | | | |
| CSE035 | 0.06 | | | | | | | | |
| CSN001 | 19.34 | | | | | | | | |
| CSN003 | 63.65 | | | | | | | | |
| CSN015 | 6.42 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Percentage PE usage | Percentage PE usage on Turing by Reserch Council for July 2001 | | Percentage CPU usag | ge on Fermat by Reserch Counc | il for July 2001 |
|---------------------|--|--|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Research Council | <u>% Usage</u> | | Research Council | % Usage | |
| EPSRC | 53.87 | | EPSRC | 93.45 | |
| HPCI | 0.01 | | HPCI | 0.00 | |
| NERC | 33.92 | | NERC | 6.55 | |
| BBSRC | 4.27 | | BBSRC | 0.00 | |
| ESRC | 0.00 | | ESRC | 0.00 | |
| PPARC | 7.93 | | PPARC | 0.00 | |

| Percentage Disc allo | cated on Turing by Research Co | uncil for July 2001 | Percentage Disc alloc | Percentage Disc allocated on Fermat by Research Co | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Research Council | % Allocated | | Research Council | % Allocated | | | |
| EPSRC | 79.55 | | EPSRC | 68.39 | | | |
| HPCI | 0.23 | | HPCI | 0.31 | | | |
| NERC | 16.92 | | NERC | 29.97 | | | |
| BBSRC | 1.62 | | BBSRC | 0.28 | | | |
| ESRC | 0.01 | | ESRC | 0.00 | | | |
| PPARC | 1.67 | | PPARC | 1.06 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Percentage HSM usa | Percentage HSM usage by Research Council for July 2001 | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Research Council | <u>% usage</u> | | | | | | | | |
| EPSRC | 10.44 | | | | | | | | |
| HPCI | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| NERC | 89.41 | | | | | | | | |
| BBSRC | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| ESRC | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| PPARC | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

The following tables show the training and support resource usage by the consortias in person days to the current month. Optimisation support for July totalled 10.5 man days.

| Code | PI | Subject | Application Support for July 2001 | Total Applicatio n Support from July 2000 | Optimisatio n Support for July 2001 | Total Optimisatio n Support from July 2000 | Total Support Used | Training Used |
|--------|---|---|---|---|--|--|--------------------------|---------------|
| Cse002 | Dr Phil Lindan | Support for the UKCP | | 10.75 | | | 142.75 | - |
| Cse003 | Prof. Ken Taylor | HPC Consortiums 98- 2000 | | 6 | | 15.5 | 24.5 | 6 |
| Cse004 | Dr Neil Sandham | UK Turbulence | | | | | | 2 |
| Cse006 | Dr Patrick Briddon | Covalently Bonded Materials | | | | | | |
| Cse007 | Dr Matthew Foulkes | Quantum Many Body Theory | | | | | 1 | 2 |
| Cse008 | Dr Mark Vincent (Hillier) | Model Chemical Reactivity | | | | | | |
| Cse009 | Dr Ben Slater (Catlow) | HPC in Materials Chemistry | | 6 | | 3 | 9 | |
| Cse010 | Dr John Williams | Free Surface Flows | | | | | 15.95 | |
| Cse011 | Dr John Williams | Open Channel Flood Plains | | | | | 2.18 | |
| Cse013 | Prof Michael Leschziner | Complex Engineering Flows | | | | | | 3 |
| Cse014 | Dr Cassiano de Oliverira (Goddard) | Probs in Nuclear Safety | | | | | | |
| Cse016 | Dr Stewart Cant | Turbulent Combustion | | | | | | |
| Cse017 | Dr Kai Luo | Large Eddy Simulation and Modelling of Buoyant Plumes and Smoke Spread in Enclosures | | | | | | |
| Cse018 | Dr Stewart Cant | Turbulent Flames | | | | | | |
| Cse019 | Dr Jason Lander (Berzins) | ROPA | | | | | | |
| Cse020 | Dr Marek Szularz | Symmetric Eigenproblem | | | | | | |
| Cse021 | Dr Julie Staunton | Magentisim | | | | | | 1 |
| Cse022 | Mr Niall Branley (Jones) | Turbulent Flames | | | | | | |
| Cse023 | Allen | Liquid Crystalline | | | | | | |

| | | Materials | | | | |
|--------|---|---|----|---|----|-----|
| | | iviateriais | | | | |
| Cse024 | Dr Robert Allan (Tennyson) | ChemReact 98- 2000 | | | | - |
| Cse025 | Dr Niels Rene Walet(Bish op) | Nuclear Theory Progamme | | | | 1.5 |
| Cse026 | Dr Maureen Neal | Molecular Dynamics | | | | |
| Cse027 | Dr M Imregun | Excitation Mechanisims | | | | |
| Cse028 | Prof. P.W. Bearman | Bridge Design | | | | |
| Cse029 | Dr David Aspley (Leschziner | Validation of Turbulence Models | | | | |
| Cse030 | Prof M Cates (VIPAR) | HPC for Complex Fluids | 21 | 5 | 51 | 7 |
| Cse033 | Dr M Imregun | Tubomachinery core compressor | | | | |
| Cse034 | Dr Paul Durham | R&D of liner/non- linear systems | | | | |
| Cse035 | Dr Stephen Jenkins | Ab Initio Simulations | | | | |
| Cse036 | Prof lain Duff | R&D of linear/non- linear systems | | | | |
| Cse040 | Dr Ken Badcock | - | | | | |
| Cse041 | Dr M Imregun | Flutter and Noise Generation | | | | |
| Cse043 | Dr J J R Williams | Numerical Simulation of flow over a rough bed | | | | 4 |
| Cse051 | Dr M Imregun | Flutter and Noise Generation | | | | |
| Csn001 | Mrs Beverly de Cuevas (Webb) | HPCI Global Ocean Consortium | 1 | | 3 | 1 |
| Csn002 | Dr Mark Vincent (Hillier) | Pollutant Sorption on Mineral Surf | | | | |
| Csn003 | Dr Lois Steenman- Clark (O'Neill) | UGAMP | | | | 4 |
| Csn005 | Dr Huw Davies | Constraining Earth Mantle | | | 27 | 6 |
| Csn006 | Dr John Brodholt (Price) | Density Functional Methods | | | | |
| Csn007 | Dr John Brodholt (Price) | Density Functional Methods | | | | |
| Csn008 | Hulton | Sub-Glacial | | | | |

| | | Process | | | | |
|--------|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| | | | | | | |
| Csn009 | Dr Roger Proctor | | | | | |
| Csn010 | Dr Jason Lander (Mobbs) | Flow over Complex terrain | | | - | - |
| Csn011 | Dr Ed Dicks (Thorpe) | Exchange of Polluted Air | | | | |
| Csn012 | Prof Tennyson | fuji user | | | | |
| Csn013 | Dr L Steenman- Clark (Voke) | Large-Eddy Simulation Extended by Extreme Value Theory for the Prediction of Dispersion, Concentration Threshold Boundaries and Field Connectivity | | | | |
| Csn014 | Prof Llewellyn- Jones | A new Data Assimilation Scheme to optimise the information on the surface- atmosphere interface from satellite observations of Top-of-the Atmosphere Brightness Temperature | | | | |
| Csn015 | Dr Roger Proctor | Atlantic Margin Metocean Project | 2 | | 2 | 3 |
| Csn017 | Dr Antony Payne | Stability of the Antarctic Ice Sheet | | | | 2 |
| Csb001 | Dr David Houldershaw (Goodfellow) | Macromolecular Interactions | | | 2 | 2 |
| Csb002 | Dr Adrian Mulholland (Danson) | Stability of Enzymes at high temp | | | | |
| Csb003 | Dr John Carling (Williams) | Anguilliform Swimming | | | | - |
| Csp002 | Dr Sandra Chapman | Nonlinear process in solar system and astrophysical plasmas | | | | 4 |
| Csp003 | Prof Andrew Lyne | Computing Resources for Precision timing of Millisecond Pulsars | 1 | | 2 | 4 |
| Csp004 | Prof K L Bell | A Programme for Atomic Physics for Astrophysics at Queen's University, Belfast (2001 - 2005) | | | | |
| Css001 | Dr I J Turton | Human Systems Modelling | | | | |
| | | Wodeling | | | <u> </u> | |

| | Crouchley | surveys | | | | |
|--------|----------------------------|--|--|--|------|-----|
| Hpcid | Dr Robert Allan | | | | | 1 |
| Hpcie | Dr David Henty | | | | | |
| Hpcis | Dr Denis Nicole | | | | | |
| Cs2001 | Dr Sudhir Jain | 3D Ising Spin Glass | | | | - |
| Cs2002 | Dr Ingrid Stairs (Lyne) | Millisecond Pulsars | | | 0.25 | - |
| Cs2004 | Dr A. Paul Watkins | Internal Combustion Engine | | | | |
| Cs2006 | Prof. Walter Temmerman | Superconductivity & Magmetisim | | | | |
| Cs2007 | Choularton | Precipitation in the Mountains | | | | 1 |
| Cs2008 | Dr Matthew Genge | Extraterrestrial Mineral Surfaces | | | 7.91 | |
| Cs2009 | Dr Roger Proctor | Atlantic Margin Metocean Project | | | | |
| Cs2010 | Dr Christopher Dempsey | Helical membrane- lytic peptides | | | | |
| Cs2011 | Dr D Drikakis | Transition & Turbulence in Physiological Flows | | | | |
| Cs2012 | Prof Ning Qin | Monotone Integrated Large Eddy Simulation | | | | 1.5 |
| Cs2014 | Dr Vladimir Karlin | Dynamics of intrinsically unstable premixed flames | | | | 2 |
| Cs2015 | Mr Pablo Tejera-Cuesta | Nonlinear Methods in Aerodynamics | | | | 1.5 |
| Cs2016 | Dr Jim Miles | Investigation of Scaline Properties of Hierarchical Micromagnetic Models | | | | - |
| Cs2017 | Mr Markus Eisenbach | Ab initio calculations of magnetic anisotropies in Fe inclusions in Cu | | | | - |
| Cs2018 | Mr Maxim Chichkine | Study of defect clusters in silicon for sub-micron technologies | | | | - |
| Cs2019 | Dr Guy H Grant | Theoretical studies of flavoproteins | | | | - |
| Cs2020 | Prof John Barker | Predicting the applicability of Aquifer Storage Recovery (ASR) in the UK | | | | - |
| Cs2021 | Dr A R Mount | A Computational Study of the Luminescence of Substituted Indoles | | | | 1 |
| Cs2022 | Dr Philippa Browning | Numerical simulation of forced magnetic | | | | 2 |

| | Browning | reconnection | | | | | |
|--------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|----|---|
| Cs2023 | Prof W Ewen Smith | The use of DFT methods for the accurate prediction of the Ramen spectrum of large molecules | | | | | - |
| Cs2024 | Prof J G Doyle | Modelling of late- type stellar chromospheres | | | | | - |
| Cs2026 | Dr R J Greenall | Molecular dynamics simlulations of AT-tract DNA | | | | | - |
| Cs2027 | Dr Anthony Kay | Mathematical Model of the Circulation of Lake Baikal | | | | | - |
| Cs2028 | Dr James F Annett | Numerical Tests of Disorder Effects in D-Wave Superconductorsors | | | | | - |
| Cs3001 | Mr John Andrew Staveley | Helical Coherent Structures | | | | 0 | ; |
| Cs3002 | Dr Keir Novik | Simulations of DNA oligomers | | | | | |
| Cs3003 | Dr Eric Chambers | Band III peptide fragments | | | | | |
| Cs3004 | Prof Nick Avis | Computational Steering and Interactive Virtual Environments | | | | | |
| Cs3005 | Mr Behrouz Zarei | Simulation of Queuing Networks | | | | | |
| Cs3006 | Mr F Li | Quantifying Room Acoustic Quality | | | | | |
| Cs3007 | Emma Finch | Development ofa 3D Crustal Lattice Solid Model | 2 | 7 | 5 | 12 | |
| Cs3008 | Dr B J Alsberg | Development of a 3D QSAR method based on quantum topological descriptors | | 6 | 3 | 9- | |
| Cs3009 | Dr D Flower | Epitope Prediction Methods based on molecular dynamics simulation | | | | - | |
| Cs3010 | Dr K Kemsley | Investigation of electromyographic recordings of muscle activity during chewing, and of relationships with perceived flavour and texture, in model and real food systems | | | | - | |
| Cs3012 | Prof Jim Austin | Evaluation of binary neural networks on a vector parallel processor | | | 3 | 3- | 2 |

| | rof Rasmita aval | Structure and function of Chiral Bioarrays: A fundamental approach to proteomic devices | | | | | - | - |
|--|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|
|--|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|

| Code | PI | Subject | Subject Area |
|--------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | |
| Cse002 | Dr Nicolas Harrison (Gillan) | Support for the UKCP | Physics |
| Cse003 | Prof. Ken Taylor | HPC Consortiums 98- 2000 | Physics |
| Cse004 | Dr Neil Sandham | UK Turbulence | Engineering |
| Cse006 | Dr Patrick Briddon | Covalently Bonded Materials | Materials |
| Cse007 | Dr Matthew Foulkes | Quantum Many Body Theory | Physics |
| Cse008 | Dr Mark Vincent (Hillier) | Model Chemical Reactivity | Chemistry |
| Cse009 | Dr Ben Slater (Catlow) | HPC in Materials Chemistry | Chemistry |
| Cse010 | Dr John Williams | Free Surface Flows | Engineering |
| Cse011 | Dr John Williams | Open Channel Flood Plains | Engineering |
| Cse013 | Dr David Aspley (Leschziner) | Complex Engineering Flows | Engineering |
| Cse014 | Dr Cassiano de Oliverira (Goddard) | Probs in Nuclear Safety | Engineering |
| Cse016 | Dr Stewart Cant | Turbulent Combustion | Engineering |
| Cse018 | Dr Stewart Cant | Turbulent Flames | Engineering |
| Cse019 | Dr Jason Lander (Berzins) | ROPA | Information Technology |
| Cse020 | Dr Marek Szularz | Symmetric Eigenproblem | Information Technology |
| Cse021 | Dr Julie Staunton | Magentisim | Physics |
| Cse022 | Mr Niall Branley (Jones) | Turbulent Flames | Engineering |
| Cse023 | Allen | Liquid Crystalline Materials | Robin Pinning |
| Cse024 | Dr Robert Allan (Tennyson) | ChemReact 98-2000 | Chemistry |
| Cse025 | Dr Niels Rene Walet (Bishop) | Nuclear Theory Progamme | Physics |
| Cse026 | Dr Maureen Neal | J90 move | |
| Cse027 | Dr M Imregun | J90 move | |
| Cse028 | Prof. P.W. Bearman | J90 move | |
| Cse029 | Dr David Aspley (Leschziner) | J90 move | Engineering |
| Cse030 | Prof M Cates | HPC for Complex Fluids | Physics |
| Cse031 | Brebbia | J90 move | |
| Cse033 | Dr M Imregun | Tubomachinery core compressor | Chemistry |
| Cse034 | Dr Paul Durham | R&D of liner/non-linear systems | Mathematics |
| Csn001 | Mrs Beverly de Cuevas (Webb) | HPCI Global Ocean Consortium | |
| | Dr Mark Vincent (Hillier) | Pollutant Sorption on Mineral Surf | |
| Csn003 | Dr Lois Steenman-Clark (O'Neill) | UGAMP | |
| Csn005 | Dr Huw Davies | Constraining Earth Mantle | |
| Csn006 | Dr John Brodholt (Price) | Density Functional Methods | |
| Csn007 | Dr John Brodholt (Price) | Density Functional Methods | |
| Csn008 | Hulton | Sub-Glacial Process | |
| Csn009 | Dr Roger Proctor | | |
| Csn010 | Dr Jason Lander (Mobbs) | Flow over Complex terrain | |
| Csn011 | Dr Ed Dicks (Thorpe) | J90 move | |
| Csb001 | Dr David Houldershaw (Goodfellow) | Macromolecular Interactions | |
| Csb002 | Dr Adrian Mulholland (Danson) | Stability of Enzymes at high temp | |
| Csb003 | Dr John Carling (Williams) | J90 move | |
| Css001 | Dr Stan Openhaw | Human Systems Modelling | |
| Css002 | Dr Robert Crouchley | Dropout in panel surveys | |
| Hpcid | Dr Robert Allan | ,, , | |
| Hpcie | Dr David Henty | <u> </u> | |
| Hpcis | Dr Denis Nicole | | |
| Cs2001 | Dr Sudhir Jain | 3D Ising Spin Glass | |
| Cs2002 | Dr Ingrid Stairs (Lyne) | Millisecond Pulsars | |
| Cs2003 | Mr Tom Coulthard | Holocene Sediment Fluxes | |
| Cs2004 | Dr A. Paul Watkins | Internal Combustion Engine | |
| Cs2005 | Mr Sean Walsh | Arabidopsis Genome | |
| Cs2006 | Prof. Walter Temmerman | Superconductivity & Magmetisim | 1 |
| Cs2006 | Choularton | Precipitation in the Mountains | |
| Cs2007 | Dr Matthew Genge | Extraterrestrial Mineral Surfaces | |
| | | Helical Coherent Structures | |
| Cs3001 | Mr John Andrew Staveley | melical Conerent Structures | |